UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,543	10/03/2003	Pye Graham	89976-2700	1314
28765 7590 12/28/2006 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, RALPH A	
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006		· ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,, 20 20000		3732	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	K	. \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,543	GRAHAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/678;543

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 9 and 10-14, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the system." It appears as though applicant has mixed up the dependency of these claims.

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fradkin (US 6,384,917).

Fradkin discloses a method for identifying tooth shades comprised of storing in a data base color coordinates (hue, saturation and intensity) for three zones of a master teeth set (note column 4, lines 26-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,543

Art Unit: 3732

Fradkin further discloses using a ccd camera 41 to obtain an image of a patient's tooth which is fed to personal computer 45 which in turn allows the operator to control an optical system which focuses light on every point in the chosen zone thereby enabling the system to record the color information for every point selected by the operator (note column 3, lines 26-34). Fradkin doesn't explicitly state that the image from the ccd camera is displayed on the personal computer to which it is conveyed, but that is what one of ordinary skill in the art would expect and most certainly find obvious as a matter of routine. Personal computers are routinely configured to display the images that they receive. Fradkin doesn't explicitly state how the operator chooses each desired zone with the computer and electronic image, but again one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily found obvious the selection of a particular zone with a mouse moving a box representing the zone over the electronic image to the desired zone, particularly in light of Figure 1 which appears to suggest just such an operation. Applicant's "displaying" and "moving " steps appear to be nothing more than specifying the obvious nuts and bolts of the process disclosed by Fradkin.

The Fradkin method then generates values for the color coordinates of each identified regions and then by comparing those values to the data base of the master teeth set the proper tooth shade can be determined (note particularly column 4, lines 45-62).

In regard to claim 2, Fradkin in Figure 1 teaches choosing the upper, middle and lower regions on the teeth.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,543

Art Unit: 3732

Prior Art

Applicant's information disclosure statements of 05 April 2006, 21 March 2005 and 08 April 2004 have been considered and an initialed copy enclosed herewith.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712**. Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at (571) 272-4964.

R.Lewis December 19, 2006 Ralph A. Lewis